

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,669	09/26/2005	Yukihiro Omoto	92478-3800	3025
53944 7590 08/05/2008 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. (Matsushita) 600 ANTON BOULEV ARD			EXAMINER	
			AGHDAM, FRESHTEH N	
SUITE 1400 COSTA MESA	A. CA 92626		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/550,669	OMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
FRESHTEH N. AGHDAM	2611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If NO period for roply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for roply will. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailton date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	

- Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 September 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 - 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-14 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 21 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 22 and 23 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Applicant may net request that any objection to the diaming(s) be note in aboyance. Good of the note(a),

- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 - 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____
 - Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 - * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/S5/08)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date.______.

 5) Notice of Informal Fatent Application.
- 6) Other: _

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1-14 and 21-23 are objected to because of the following informalities:

As to claims 1-9, the term "operable" should be deleted from the recited claims and the recited claims should be amended accordingly in order to prevent indefiniteness. Appropriate correction is required.

As to claims 1, 8, and 9, the phrase "each of which is obtained with the timing indicated" should be replaced by "according to the predetermined timing indicated". Appropriate correction is required.

As to claims 10 and 22, the phrase "that are representative of the identified cycles" should be replaced by "according to the identified cycles". Appropriate correction is required.

As to claims12 and 23, the phrase "symbol points able to be found" should be replaced by "symbol points that are found". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shirakata et al (US 6,993,083).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

As to claim 21, Shirakata teaches a frequency demodulation method that corrects an input signal from an external source, based on a correlation between the input signal and a reference signal, and demodulates the corrected input signal, the input signal including a synchronization symbol that is composed of a synchronization waveform that exhibits a predetermined autocorrelation property and is included at least twice in the synchronization symbol (col. 12, lines 13-29), and the reference signal expressing a waveform that is identical to the synchronization waveform (fig. 9, input of means 301), the frequency demodulation method comprising: a frequency synchronization step of finding a frequency error between the input signal and the reference signal, based on an average phase difference between each pair of chronologically neighboring correlation vectors found cyclically (col. 19, lines 3-13; when a repetitive cyclic input signal is correlated with its identical signal that is delayed in time/phase consequently the correlation vectors are cyclically found) between the input signal and the reference signal (means 303 and 304; col. 19, lines 23-37), finding an

Art Unit: 2611

absolute phase error between the input signal and the reference signal (means 307), based on chronological transition of absolute phase of the correlation vectors (col. 19, lines 38-48), and correcting the input signal based on the found frequency error and the found absolute phase error (means 309; col. 19, lines 49-67; col. 20, lines 1-10); and a demodulation step of demodulating the corrected input signal, thereby generating a demodulated signal (means 104).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-14 are allowed. Claims 22-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Xu (US 2004/0047368); Mody et al (US 2002/0181509); Imamura et al (US 7,149,266); and Han (US 2004/0125873).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRESHTEH N. AGHDAM whose telephone number is (571)272-6037. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Freshteh N Aghdam/

Examiner, Art Unit 2611

/Chieh M Fan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611